

McNamara Declaration

Exhibit 121

Page 1

1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
3
4

5 HACHETTE BOOK GROUP, INC.,
6 HARPERCOLLINS PUBLISHERS LLC,
7 JOHN WILEY & SONS, INC., and
8 PENGUIN RANDOM HOUSE LLC,

9 Plaintiffs,

10 vs.

11 No. 1:20-cv-04160-JGK

12 INTERNET ARCHIVE and DOES 1

13 through 5, inclusive,

14 Defendants.

15

16 VIDEOTAPED RULE 30(B)(6) DEPOSITION OF INTERNET ARCHIVE

17 BY LILA BAILEY

18 Volume 1

19 Remote Zoom Proceeding

20 San Francisco, California

21 Monday, October 18, 2021

22
23 REPORTED BY:

24 LESLIE ROCKWOOD ROSAS, RPR, CSR 3462

25 Pages 1 - 142

Job No. 4841846

Page 82

1 MR. GRATZ: Why don't we go -- why don't we go
2 off the record and figure out when to come back.

3 MS. MCNAMARA: Okay.

4 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. We're going off the
5 record the time is 11:43 a.m.

6 (Recess.)

7 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 12:08 p.m., and
8 we are back on the record.

9 Q. BY MS. MCNAMARA: Okay. So, Lila, I think when
10 we cut off, we were turning to the issue of Michelle Wu
11 acting as counsel for Internet Archive. Okay?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. So did there come a point in time where Michelle
14 Wu was retained as counsel for Internet Archive?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. And can you tell me when that occurred?

17 A. Sometime in 2017.

18 Q. Now we've looked at your privilege log, and you
19 have indicated that there were attorney-client
20 communications with Michelle Wu as of September 15, 2016.

21 Does that change your answer?

22 A. That could be right as well.

23 Q. So obviously, if the privilege log is correct,
24 she was acting as counsel in September of 2016; is that
25 right?

Page 90

1 Q. Did you have an understanding as, given the
2 prior retention of Ms. Wu, why it was decided seven,
3 eight months later to formalize the retention?

4 MR. GRATZ: Lacks foundation.

5 THE WITNESS: I think that decision would be
6 privileged.

7 Q. BY MS. MCNAMARA: Okay. Had the role of the
8 retention changed between 2015 and March of 2017?

9 MR. GRATZ: Objection. Calls for
10 attorney-client privileged information.

11 I instruct the witness not to answer.

12 Q. BY MS. MCNAMARA: Did that retention agreement
13 last -- how -- strike that.

14 How long did the retention agreement last?

15 A. So I don't recall exactly what it said in the
16 letter about the duration. However, I can say that, you
17 know, Michelle Wu would periodically offer legal advice
18 to the Internet Archive, either to me or directly
19 Brewster for at least a year or so following, maybe more,
20 following that retention letter.

21 Q. So that would have been at least until March,
22 April, May of 2018?

23 A. At least, yeah, at least until then. That
24 sounds about right.

25 Q. Do you have any reason to believe it extended

Page 115

1 Dave's white paper. So I feel like I should -- I should
2 stop talking here.

3 Q. Have you heard -- and by "Kyle and Dave," you
4 mean Kyle Courtney and Dave Hansen; is that correct?

5 A. That's right.

6 Q. They're -- they're the fellow band of supporters
7 of Internet Archive or Friends of the Internet Archive;
8 is that right?

9 A. I would describe Kyle Courtney and Dave Hansen
10 and Michelle Wu as Friends of the Internet Archive.

11 Q. Okay. So have you heard Mr. Courtney or
12 Mr. Hansen give a presentation on their white paper to
13 libraries?

14 A. I have at the Library Leaders Forum that was
15 held in the San Francisco Public Library, which was the
16 2019 one.

17 Q. And did -- in the course of giving that
18 presentation, would they go through the risk mitigation
19 factors?

20 A. Kyle likes to talk about buckets of risk, and
21 Dave likes to talk about mission risks, which are the
22 risks associated with not doing Controlled Digital
23 Lending or, you know, living up to a library's mission.
24 I don't remember if they like walked through each
25 recommendation in the white paper or not. That specific

1 Q. And so it's -- it's a different organization
2 from the Authors Guild; is that correct?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. Are you familiar with the Authors Guild?

5 A. I am.

6 Q. And you're familiar with the -- that the Authors
7 Guild has not supported Controlled Digital Lending; are
8 you not?

9 MR. GRATZ: Objection. Lacks foundation,
10 outside the scope.

11 THE WITNESS: I am aware that the Authors Guild
12 has written a number of things that say they don't agree
13 with the idea of Controlled Digital Lending.

14 Q. BY MS. MCNAMARA: Now there came a time, did
15 there not, where there was a May 23 to 24, 2017 meeting
16 of a number of lawyers, intellectual property lawyers,
17 concerning Controlled Digital Lending?

18 A. There was a meeting.

19 Q. How did that meeting come to pass?

20 A. Pam Samuelson convened a group scholars and also
21 library practitioners. It was modeled after a meeting
22 that she did around the Google Books case.

23 Q. What does that mean?

24 A. I don't know enough about that, that meeting. I
25 just know that that's what Pam said. She was like, well,

Page 130

1 we did this for Google; it would be interesting to do it
2 here.

3 Q. Do you know what precipitated -- I mean, the
4 Google Books, there was a litigation pending. What
5 precipitated having a meeting in May of 2017 concerning
6 Controlled Digital Lending, to your knowledge?

7 A. I believe it was -- oh, like having to do with
8 the MacArthur -- the MacArthur Grant Challenge.

9 Q. How did it relate to the MacArthur Grant
10 Challenge?

11 A. One of the significant barriers to solving -- so
12 let me back up and say the framing of the MacArthur
13 100&Change Grant Challenge is solving one of the world's
14 largest problems or something like that.

15 And as part of the grant proposal, barriers to
16 solving the problem are identified. One of the
17 significant barriers to libraries being able to loan out
18 their digital collections was copyright -- copyright
19 uncertainty, lack of clarity in the law.

20 I believe that Pam was an advisor on the grant,
21 like among the advisors, as I was, as Michelle was, and
22 she wanted to basically have an open discussion, an
23 academic discussion with other scholars and library
24 practitioners who understood how libraries were engaging
25 in this practice, which, again, at the time was not

Page 131

1 called Controlled Digital Lending, but we've been over
2 that.

3 Q. And was one of the goals to mitigate the legal
4 opposition to what became Controlled Digital Lending?

5 A. No. The real goal of that meeting was academic
6 inquiry. It was -- I guess you could say or I would say
7 the one -- among the ways that Pam Samuelson formulates
8 her opinions on subjects of this nature where it's --
9 there is something going on out in the world that is
10 legally untested, is she likes to talk to other academics
11 about it.

12 And so she was in charge of the list of who --
13 who was there and the agenda for the meeting and how it
14 was run.

15 Q. And the topic, the legally untested topic was
16 what became known as Controlled Digital Lending; isn't
17 that right?

18 A. The subject was -- yes, digital -- what became
19 known as Controlled Digital Lending.

20 MS. McNAMARA: Okay. So let's have marked as
21 Exhibit 59 the flier for the tab 49, Jesse, for the May
22 27th meeting.

23 (Exhibit 59, Open Libraries Copyright Scholars
24 Meeting, May 24, 2017, San Francisco, CA,
25 INTARCO0151183 - 185. marked for identification

1 by counsel electronically.)

2 Q. BY MS. MCNAMARA: Are you familiar with this
3 presentation concerning the May 24th, 2017 copyright
4 scholars meeting?

5 MR. GRATZ: Objection to the form.

6 THE WITNESS: I have actually not seen this
7 before.

8 Q. BY MS. MCNAMARA: Okay. So you'll see that
9 there's a list of attendees on -- or the participants on
10 the second and third page of this exhibit.

11 A. Uh-huh.

12 Q. Is that right?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. And so amongst the attendees there is Brewster
15 Kahle, obviously of Internet Archive, Corynne McSherry of
16 the Electronic Frontier Foundation, your counsel, one of
17 your counsel on this case, David Hansen from Duke, as
18 well as the one and only Joe Gratz, who is there.

19 MS. MCNAMARA: Is that -- is that a lovely
20 picture of you, Joe, sitting next to Kyle Courtney?

21 MR. GRATZ: It is a picture of me, but it is not
22 a lovely picture of me. I think it was taken during
23 lunch.

24 MS. MCNAMARA: I am correct that you are sitting
25 next to one of the fellow travelers, Mr. Courtney; is

1 that right?

2 MR. GRATZ: In that picture, I am sitting next
3 to Mr. Courtney. I don't remember whether I was sitting
4 next to Mr. Courtney all day.

5 MS. McNAMARA: Okay.

6 MR. GRATZ: But also -- yeah.

7 Q. BY MS. McNAMARA: Okay. So obviously he's
8 pictured, but he's also identified as a participant, Kyle
9 Courtney. And then you were there, Lila Bailey?

10 A. I was there.

11 Q. Mary Minow, Michelle Wu, and Pam Samuelson, was
12 the whole gang.

13 And I understand that these were pulled together
14 as 20 copyright experts in the area relevant to kind of
15 the topic of the conference, the Controlled Digital
16 Lending; is that right?

17 MR. GRATZ: Objection to the form.

18 THE WITNESS: Well, that is definitely what it
19 says here. Let me get familiar with this document.

20 So, okay, sorry, ask your question. Because
21 really this is the first time I'm seeing this document.

22 Q. BY MS. McNAMARA: Okay. Well, as you see on the
23 first page, the caption to the photograph that is on the
24 bottom right of that, which is a little blurry so -- at
25 least on my --

1 A. Yeah.

2 Q. -- rendering. That's Michelle Wu at the bottom
3 left; is that right?

4 A. I'm sorry, which photo are we looking at?

5 They're very fuzzy.

6 Q. Yes. The photo that is the group of people on
7 the first page of the exhibit. That's at the bottom.

8 A. Michelle, no. Michelle is --

9 Q. Oh, I see Michelle. She's to the right of Pam
10 Samuelson in that middle photo.

11 A. Yeah, she's to Pam's right.

12 Q. Yes, okay.

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. So the caption on this bottom photo is "20 of
15 the nation's top copyright scholars."

16 Do you see that?

17 A. I see that.

18 Q. Okay. Did you -- did Ms. Samuelson include any
19 copyright lawyers who represent content creators at this
20 panel?

21 MR. GRATZ: Objection to the form.

22 THE WITNESS: I don't know if -- I mean, they're
23 primarily professors and library practitioners. I
24 have -- I don't know if any of these people in other
25 capacities may have represented any content creators. I

1 can certainly say I know Corynne McSherry has represented
2 content creators, but I don't know if we'd agree on that
3 term.

4 Q. BY MS. MCNAMARA: Okay. Do you know whether any
5 papers or articles were distributed prior to the
6 conference in connection with the conference?

7 A. I don't remember. That was -- I've been a part
8 of a couple these things that Pam has pulled together,
9 these kind of roundtable discussions, and usually there
10 is reading that goes along with it, but I don't know what
11 she pulled together for this.

12 Q. Do you know whether Michelle Wu's 2016 or 2017
13 articles that we have identified at the deposition,
14 whether they were distributed at the conference or before
15 the conference?

16 A. I don't remember.

17 Q. Do you know whether they were discussed at the
18 conference?

19 A. Not in any kind of detail that I recall.

20 Q. Do you know whether Mr. Gratz made any kind of
21 presentation at the conference?

22 A. He did not.

23 Q. Did he speak at the conference?

24 A. I -- the thing I remember for all of me and Joe
25 and Corynne was stating at the top of the meeting that we

1 had -- had represented the Internet Archive and were
2 conscious of privilege issues and were mostly there to
3 listen.

4 Q. BY MS. MCNAMARA: And is that, in fact, what you
5 did?

6 A. That is, in fact, what I did, and that is what I
7 recall both Joe and Corynne doing.

8 Q. Do you know whether the meeting was taped?

9 A. It was not.

10 Q. Do you know whether -- did you or anybody else
11 from the Internet Archive that was present, so that would
12 be you and Mr. Kahle and Jim Michalko and John Gonzalez
13 and -- I think those are all the representatives of the
14 Internet Archive at the conference or the meeting.

15 Do you know whether any of those individuals
16 took notes of the meeting?

17 A. I did take notes. I will -- I would like to
18 state that with the exception of me, everyone else from
19 the Internet Archive was only there for the first hour.

20 Q. Okay. So have you retained the notes that you
21 took at that meeting?

22 A. They were paper notes and I -- no, I don't
23 believe I still have them.

24 Q. Do you know when, if you discarded them, when
25 did you discard them?

1 A. Probably in the three to six months after the
2 meeting, as I no longer needed them.

3 Q. Why would -- why would you no longer need them
4 three to six months after the meeting?

5 A. It's not in my practice to go back to old notes
6 from old meetings.

7 Q. Okay. Do you have a distinct recollection of
8 discarding those notes or are you guessing?

9 A. I mean --

10 MR. GRATZ: Objection to the form.

11 THE WITNESS: I have a distinct recollection of
12 them being paper notes, and I know that I have a practice
13 of shredding my paper notes on a relatively regular
14 basis. You can see I have a small home office so I
15 regularly purge.

16 Q. BY MS. McNAMARA: As a result of that 2017
17 meeting that was organized by Pam Samuelson, was it
18 agreed that Mr. Courtney and Mr. Hansen would author a
19 white paper concerning the legality of Controlled Digital
20 Lending?

21 A. No. At that point, at the end of the meeting --
22 so at the end of the meeting, what I remember is Pam
23 saying something like whoever is interested in writing
24 something else about this should let the group know, that
25 kind of a thing.

Page 138

1 And then after the meeting had concluded and we
2 were having like a dinner afterwards, I believe that is
3 when Kyle and Dave and Michelle and I started talking
4 about the idea of writing something up.

5 Q. And so was it agreed at that dinner that the
6 primary authors of the paper would be Mr. Courtney and
7 Hansen?

8 MR. GRATZ: Objection. Vague.

9 THE WITNESS: The white paper idea came quite a
10 bit later.

11 Q. BY MS. McNAMARA: Tell me about that. How did
12 that come about?

13 A. The original idea was to write something short
14 and easy for a non-lawyer to understand about the legal
15 underpinning of Controlled Digital Lending.

16 Q. And that became the statement that you
17 co-authored; is that right?

18 A. That's right. That became the Position
19 Statement.

20 As that document crystallized, another kind of
21 need was identified in the community. Basically people
22 were like -- would say, "My general counsel has
23 questions, has more questions than this document
24 answers."

25 And it was in light of that more lawyerly

Page 141

1 STATE OF CALIFORNIA) ss:

2 COUNTY OF MARIN)

3

4 I, LESLIE ROCKWOOD ROSAS, RPR, CSR NO. 3462, do
5 hereby certify:

6 That the foregoing deposition testimony was
7 taken before me at the time and place therein set forth
8 and at which time the witness was administered the oath;

9 That testimony of the witness and all objections
10 made by counsel at the time of the examination were
11 recorded stenographically by me, and were thereafter
12 transcribed under my direction and supervision, and that
13 the foregoing pages contain a full, true and accurate
14 record of all proceedings and testimony to the best of my
15 skill and ability.

16 I further certify that I am neither counsel for
17 any party to said action, nor am I related to any party
18 to said action, nor am I in any way interested in the
19 outcome thereof.

20 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have subscribed my name
21 this 22nd day of October, 2021.

22

23

24



25

LESLIE ROCKWOOD ROSAS, RPR, CSR NO. 3462

Page 146

1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
3
4

5 HACHETTE BOOK GROUP, INC.,
6 HARPERCOLLINS PUBLISHERS LLC,
7 JOHN WILEY & SONS, INC., and
8 PENGUIN RANDOM HOUSE LLC,

9 Plaintiffs,

10 vs.

11 No. 1:20-cv-04160-JGK

12 INTERNET ARCHIVE and DOES 1

13 through 5, inclusive,

14 Defendants.

15

16 VIDEOTAPED RULE 30(B)(6) DEPOSITION OF INTERNET ARCHIVE

17 BY LILA BAILEY

18 Volume 2

19 Remote Zoom Proceedings

20 San Francisco, California

21 Tuesday, October 19, 2021

22
23 REPORTED BY:

24 LESLIE ROCKWOOD ROSAS, RPR, CSR 3462

25 Job No. 4842007

Page 219

1 the question -- as the question is phrased, I instruct
2 the witness not to answer.

3 MS. MCNAMARA: Okay. I don't think that -- I
4 mean, that it calls for attorney-client privilege or work
5 product, but we can go through it methodically if that's
6 easier.

7 Q. So, Ms. Bailey, one of the suggestions
8 articulated in the white paper is that one way to -- a
9 design feature that may reduce risks and enhance the
10 fair-use position is to introduce additional artificial
11 friction into the system, for example, by extending the
12 time between digital lends more closely mirroring how
13 physical books are lent and returned.

14 Do you see that?

15 A. Sorry, what page are we on here?

16 Q. Page 36 in the paragraph that begins: "One way
17 is to introduce additional artificial friction."

18 A. So I was on the wrong page that's why I'm not
19 seeing it. Okay. I see it now.

20 Q. Okay. Are you aware of what this means as to
21 kind of introducing friction and introducing time between
22 digital lends?

23 MR. GRATZ: Objection to the form.

24 THE WITNESS: I understand the principle.

25 Q. BY MS. MCNAMARA: Okay. Is that a practice

Page 220

1 followed by Internet Archive? Do they introduce friction
2 between lends so there's a time period between when a
3 book is returned and when it can be checked out again?

4 A. Not to my knowledge.

5 Q. A second suggestion by the authors of the white
6 paper is that in the next paragraph, you'll see it says:
7 "A conservatively designed CDL system could also
8 introduce characteristics that mimic physical
9 degradation. For example, a library might introduce
10 lending limits based on library experience with physical
11 lending. If a physical book could be expected to
12 circulate 2000 times before it degrades, the library
13 could place the same limit on circulation of the digital
14 copy."

15 Do you see that?

16 MR. GRATZ: Objection to the form.

17 THE WITNESS: I see that.

18 Q. BY MS. MCNAMARA: Is that a practice followed by
19 Internet Archive? Do they take a book out of circulation
20 after it is determined that it might be consistent with
21 how a physical book would degrade?

22 MR. GRATZ: Objection to the form.

23 THE WITNESS: Not to my knowledge.

24 Q. BY MS. MCNAMARA: The -- on page 37 of the white
25 paper, there is a paragraph that begins: "Libraries may

Page 221

1 also limit who they will lend digital copies to as an
2 additional way to limit the overall reach of the copy and
3 therefore the potential market effect."

4 Do you see that?

5 A. I see that.

6 Q. And it goes on to recognize that some libraries
7 serve particular communities of users, and so it could
8 restrict lending to the communities of users that it
9 serves.

10 Do you understand that as an idea that is being
11 put forth by the authors of the white paper as a means to
12 reduce risk?

13 MR. GRATZ: Objection to the form.

14 THE WITNESS: I do understand the principle.

15 Q. BY MS. MCNAMARA: And I am correct, am I not,
16 that Internet Archive is a global website; isn't that
17 right?

18 MR. GRATZ: Objection to the form.

19 THE WITNESS: The Internet Archive -- so
20 Archive.org is a website that is accessible from anywhere
21 in the world.

22 Q. BY MS. MCNAMARA: And that's true of the
23 Controlled Digital Lending component of Internet Archive;
24 isn't that right?

25 A. It is accessible from anywhere in the world,

Page 222

1 yes.

2 Q. So anywhere in the world, someone could lend a
3 book?

4 MR. GRATZ: Objection to the form.

5 Q. BY MS. MCNAMARA: Or could take out a book, I
6 should say.

7 A. Anyone who has an account with the Internet
8 Archive can borrow a book.

9 Q. Okay. So turning now to page 38 of the white
10 paper, do you see under the subhead B, "Collection
11 Choices," the first sentence reads: "The choice in what
12 books are selected for CDL can also play a significant
13 role in risk mitigation."

14 Do you see that?

15 A. I do.

16 Q. And proceeds to say: "The book candidates with
17 the lowest risk and the strongest fair-use argument,
18 though those analyses are not necessarily tied together,
19 are generally those with the lowest likelihood of market
20 exploitation."

21 Do you see that?

22 A. I see that.

23 Q. So, for example, the article goes on to indicate
24 that old books and books in the public domain would be
25 less risky under this analysis; correct?

Page 223

1 MR. GRATZ: Objection to the form.

2 THE WITNESS: Yeah. The paper talks about older
3 books, public domain books posing a lower risk.

4 Q. BY MS. MCNAMARA: And Internet Archive's
5 practice of Controlled Digital Lending is not limited to
6 public domain books, is it?

7 A. It is not.

8 Q. So it circulates books that are in copyright?

9 MR. GRATZ: Objection to the form.

10 THE WITNESS: Circulates -- I'm sorry, the
11 Internet Archive circulates books that are very likely --
12 at least some of them are likely to be protected by
13 copyright.

14 Q. BY MS. MCNAMARA: Okay. And there's a chart
15 that appears on page 39, or a diagram that appears on
16 page 39 of the white paper, and it divides the kind of
17 universal books into pre-1943 books, pre-1963 books,
18 pre-1989 books, and books first published in the United
19 States, and it ascribes different risk analysis to those
20 different categories.

21 Do you understand that?

22 MR. GRATZ: Objection. Misstates the content of
23 the document.

24 THE WITNESS: I understand that there are --
25 this is what Kyle called buckets of risk.

Page 224

1 Q. BY MS. MCNAMARA: Okay. So on page 40, there's
2 a subcategory of out-of-print and off-the-market books.

3 Do you see that?

4 A. I see that.

5 Q. Now we've established that Internet Archive
6 publishes books that are still protected by copyright;
7 isn't that right?

8 MR. GRATZ: Objection to the form.

9 THE WITNESS: Did you mean to use the word
10 "publishes"?

11 Q. BY MS. MCNAMARA: Well, it distributes, it makes
12 available to -- to -- to its users books that are in
13 copyright; isn't that right?

14 MR. GRATZ: Objection to the form.

15 THE WITNESS: Books that may be protected by
16 copyright are included in our Controlled Digital Lending
17 environment.

18 Q. BY MS. MCNAMARA: And does the Internet Archive
19 have any time restriction on -- on books that it will not
20 publish or will not make available to its readers?

21 MR. GRATZ: Objection to the form.

22 THE WITNESS: The Internet Archive does place a
23 limit based on a date for books that are made available
24 through our Controlled Digital Lending system.

25 Q. BY MS. MCNAMARA: And what is that limit?

Page 225

1 A. Currently, I believe it is the last two years or
2 five years. I realize I'm not confident in my answer
3 there.

4 Q. Okay. So it's either two years or five years.
5 And by that, means two years or five years from the date
6 of original publication?

7 A. No -- oh, wait, sorry. I'm getting myself
8 turned around. Can you restate the question?

9 Q. Yes.

10 Does Internet Archive place a time restriction
11 on books that are available on its -- on its Internet
12 Archive lending website?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. What is that time restriction?

15 MR. GRATZ: Outside the scope.

16 You can answer.

17 THE WITNESS: So I am not 100 percent certain of
18 the date cutoff as I sit here today. I don't --

19 Q. BY MS. MCNAMARA: I have seen indications
20 that -- that books that were published, originally
21 published within the last five years, are generally --
22 but there's exceptions -- are generally not to be made
23 available to the lending portion of the Internet Archive.

24 Does that sound correct to you?

25 A. It does sound correct to me, although again,

Page 226

1 that exact where that cutoff is -- is something that I
2 recognize that I am -- I don't have my head around today.

3 Q. Okay. Okay. So back on page 40, under
4 out-of-print and off-the-market books. Do you agree that
5 Internet Archive does not limit what is available on its
6 lending library to out-of-print books?

7 A. The Internet Archive does not limit its lending
8 library to books that are out -- are determined to be out
9 of print.

10 Q. And similarly, it does not limit its lending
11 library to books that are off the market.

12 MR. GRATZ: Objection. Vague.

13 Q. BY MS. MCNAMARA: Correct?

14 A. So if I'm reading the paper correctly, off the
15 market is something closer to orphan works?

16 Q. I don't think so. So let's try to clarify
17 because I think your counsel was probably right. It was
18 vague as said. So let's clarify it as to how it's being
19 used in the white paper.

20 In the third sentence of the subsection 2 under
21 out of print and off the market, the paper indicates that
22 a key, though not necessarily determinative factor in
23 fair use, is whether or not the work is available to the
24 potential user. If the work is out of print or
25 unavailable for purchase through normal challenges" --

1 "channels, the user may have more justification for
2 reproducing it."

3 Do you see that?

4 MR. GRATZ: Objection. Objection to the form of
5 the question.

6 THE WITNESS: That's -- sorry, yeah, it looks
7 like this is trying to quote something, but I don't see
8 where the beginning of the quote is in the paper. I
9 think there's a typo in the paper. I'm just noticing as
10 we read it here today. But I do see that.

11 Q. BY MS. MCNAMARA: Okay.

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. And so does Internet Archive limit the books
14 that are available through its lending library to books
15 that are unavailable for purchase through normal
16 channels?

17 MR. GRATZ: Objection to the form.

18 THE WITNESS: The Internet Archive does not
19 limit works -- sorry. The Internet Archive does not
20 limit the availability of works in its lending library by
21 whether they are unavailable for purchase through normal
22 channels.

23 Q. BY MS. MCNAMARA: Okay. And we've already
24 established -- this goes on to talk about orphan works.
25 We've already established that Internet Archive does not

Page 228

1 limit the availability of works on its lending library to
2 orphan work; isn't that right?

3 A. That is right.

4 Q. Okay. Then -- and I'm -- and I'm cognizant of
5 the time. I know that we're supposed to try to keep to
6 hour increments, and so I'm almost done so I don't think
7 want you to think I'm being disrespectful of your time.

8 On page 41, there's a subhead 3: "Nonfiction
9 and Factual Works."

10 A. I see that.

11 Q. Do you see that?

12 A. I do.

13 Q. It says: "Finally, a third collection
14 characteristic that may reduce risk and enhance the
15 fair-use position is for libraries to focus their CDL
16 efforts on works that are nonfiction or primarily
17 factual.

18 Do you see that?

19 A. I see that.

20 Q. Does Internet Archive in its lending library
21 limit the books that are either nonfiction or primarily
22 factual?

23 MR. GRATZ: Objection to the form.

24 THE WITNESS: The Internet Archive lending
25 library is not limited to works that are only nonfiction

1 or factual.

2 MS. MCNAMARA: Okay. This is a good time to
3 break. So how much time -- where are we in your schedule
4 of kind of what we so here?

5 MR. GRATZ: So we have, by my count, we've been
6 on the record for about five hours and 53 minutes. So
7 we've got about another hour and seven minutes to go.

8 Lila, do you want to take a -- take a longer
9 break now and then, you know, go through to the finish
10 line or a shorter break now and break it up into pieces,
11 or how would you prefer to proceed?

12 THE WITNESS: Are we still on the record?

13 MR. GRATZ: We should probably go off the
14 record.

15 THE WITNESS: Yes.

16 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record.
17 The time is 11:53 a.m.

18 (Recess.)

19 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 12:45, and we are
20 back on the record.

21 MS. MCNAMARA: Okay. Thank you for that.

22 Q. So, Lila, I wanted to turn our attention, if I
23 may, to Mary Minow. I think we haven't really discussed
24 Ms. Minow. We've referenced her, but we haven't
25 discussed her in any detail.

Page 254

1 STATE OF CALIFORNIA) ss:

2 COUNTY OF MARIN)

3

4 I, LESLIE ROCKWOOD ROSAS, RPR, CSR NO. 3462, do
5 hereby certify:

6 That the foregoing deposition testimony was
7 taken before me at the time and place therein set forth
8 and at which time the witness was administered the oath;

9 That testimony of the witness and all objections
10 made by counsel at the time of the examination were
11 recorded stenographically by me, and were thereafter
12 transcribed under my direction and supervision, and that
13 the foregoing pages contain a full, true and accurate
14 record of all proceedings and testimony to the best of my
15 skill and ability.

16 I further certify that I am neither counsel for
17 any party to said action, nor am I related to any party
18 to said action, nor am I in any way interested in the
19 outcome thereof.

20 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have subscribed my name
21 this 25th day of October, 2021.

22

23

24



25

LESLIE ROCKWOOD ROSAS, RPR, CSR NO. 3462